Previous references.

m. 167905-06

1908-09
(Gen Mis)

File No. Gmi36

Serial Nos. 344

Later references.

(31)

fin. 140-13.
es 27+39.
G.m. 61-23 (1-6)
Boo 61-23 8to 7+8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 344

Part B. for February 09

SUBJECT.

Enhanced powers to the Supdt Govt Gardens to incur expenditure within the Budget grant ~~to back powers Sanction of~~

---

2 From the Supdt: Govt Gardens to the Secy to Govt
No. 238 D 22-10-08 Gen'l & Rev. Deptt

Regrets that his limit of expenditure 2 rupees
previous Sanction of Govt be raised to Rs. 250/-
each work of petty construction or repair

1 To the Comptroller & the Supdt: Govt Gardens
No 4456-7 D 4/12/08

Sanctions the above request

G. R. S. 58

G.R.S.11] 1908-09

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Gen. Rise.)

NOTES.

File No. 36

FILE HEADING.

Powers of the Supt. of Govt Gardens in the matter of incurring expenditure out of Sanctioned Grants in the Gardens budget

From

The Supt Govt Gardens

No. 238 Dated 22-10-08 Received 27-10-08

Diary No. 1768 | Enclos. - Spare Copies - | Serial No. 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

With reference to G.O No 1715 Fin 3608-2 G. 15-8-08 represents that it is inconvenient to obtain the Sanction of Govt for every item of expenditure exceeding Rs 25/- and requests that the limit prescribed therein may be raised to Rs 250/-

NOTES AND ORDERS.

W The Govt order of 15-8-08, the modification of which is now urged by the Supt of Govt Gardens was passed in accordance with the opinion of the Financial dept.

Of the items of expenditure specified at

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

Serial No.

N

The end of para 2 of the paper under disposal the purchase of manure, pots, tools, implements seeds & plants is of a purely contingent character & therefore comes within the purview of G.C. No. 7B 2647-92 Gen. Fin 112-06-1 G.O. 10-06 which fixes the limits within which expenditure on such items may be incurred. There does not seem to be sufficient reason for raising these limits, as required by the Supt., in the case of these items, considering the fact that the heads of other departments similarly circumstanced enjoy no higher powers in this respect than what the G.C. referred to above permission allows. The late Agricultural Chemist who had to make purchase of costly scientific appliances at frequent intervals had been permitted to submit a list of the requirements every quarter & could make the purchase only on obtaining the sanction of Govt to such lists. To provide for meeting urgent requirements he was subsequently permitted to incur on his own authority expenditure under this of this class upto the limit of Rs 50/-. This is therefore doubtful if higher powers than the G.C. of G.O. 10-06 allows in this respect is necessary for the Supt of Gardens.

Agri 167-05-4

Agri 132-06-4

N

The G.C. of G.O. 10-06 cannot be literally

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

1768

Serial No.

applied to purchase of annuals Hands & it maybe necessary to fix a higher maximum limit of expenditure under this head. Rs 25/- would perhaps be a reasonable limit

The difficulty in convenience represented by the Supt are perhaps felt most in the case of works of construction and repair involving large outlay. But it is doubtful if the Supt can be permitted to incur such large expenditure without furnishing proper estimates and obtaining the sanction of Govt to them In support of this request the Supt refers to the sanctioning powers of Exec Engrs. But the position of Exec Engrs appears to be different. In a department the entire work of which consists of construction or execution of repairs, delegation of powers to a certain extent is absolutely necessary. Besides the expenditure which the Exec Engrs sanction is not always for works under their immediate supervision but for works entrusted to officers of the lower subordinate rank in the latter case they probably get estimates for the Exec Engrs which they sanction

But the present case is somewhat relating to construction work

The Financial Branch may be consulted in the matter

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

12/11/08

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

1768

File No. 26 of 190 8

Serial No.

The Supt. Govt. Gardens, in his present letter states that the limit of Rs 25 fixed in the G.O dated 15-8-08 within which he can incur expenditure for construction & repair, is too low and requests that it may be raised to Rs 250. His objection to the present limit are (1) the inconvenience & delay involved in getting the orders of Govt (2) the difficulty of providing estimates for such petty charges. The first was considered in connection with the issue of G.O dated 15.8.08 and was not found to be very great, as the Supt. is stationed at Bangalore In connection with the second objection, the Supt. quotes the case of Executive Engineers who are authorised to incur expenditure up to 200 & 500 Rs. The analogy is far from convincing. There is no reason why he should be given greater powers of expenditure than those enjoyed by similar officers, like the late Agricultural Chemist

Forwarded to Sr II for opinion

Submitted

N14/

Why?

for Dir

R. Mis. 308 08/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10000—13.5.08

1768

Gen File No. 36 of 190 8

5

Serial No.

Fl mis 308/08-9

It would have been better if the Supt had specified the Concrete Cases of inconvenience, delay & "loss of opportunity" experienced by Conforming to the G.O. of 15/1078. in support of his representation for a material modification of that Order. His letter under disposal is vague & his argument unconvincing. After all the expenditure he has to incur is not generally of an urgent character; nor would there be any great delay in obtaining the needful Sanction in a real Case of urgency. His purchases are mostly for orders requisite animals &c & things req? for the menagerie and Aviary & surely the limit of Rs 25 for each purchase is sufficiently high & there can be no serious inconvenience if previous Sanction is insisted upon in Cases of larger expenditure.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

So far as the ordinary Contingent requirements of the Gardens are concerned, there is no reason for increasing the powers of expenditure of the Supt. As for special purchases & works, the necessity for obtaining sanction to them by explaining the need for such purchases & works & submitting Estimates of proposed expenditure is [illegible] when Govt sanctions any such proposal no further sanction is necessary for detailed expenditure and the Comptr, who will in such a case be furnished with a copy of the detailed estimate sanctioned by Govt, will admit the charges incurred by the Supt, provided they do not exceed the limits imposed by the Estimate.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

As stated in notes of 12/11/08 supra the circumstances of the P.W. Dept are different from those of Gardens & the analogy of the powers of Ex Engineers is no argument in favour of the Supt's proposal. Altogether no case can be considered to have been made out financially for increasing the powers of expenditure of the Supt.

Mk
16.11.8

Secy)

16/11/08

Mr Krumbiegel has seen me personally and explained his difficulties in the matter of obtaining previous sanction of Govt for petty works of construction, such as have also to be undertaken in the Govt Gardens. I think in

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

any rule we prescribe some regard should be had to the requirements & convenience of a practical department, in which scope for improvement constantly exists, and where work cannot be kept at a standstill in expectation of fresh orders. To do so would be uneconomical, and would merely tend to keep the permanent hands idle, hamper work, and considerably restrict the scope for improvement. Horticulture is ~~a science~~ a fine art implying taste and artistic skill in the arrangement and grouping of natural features, plants etc; and it is not good policy to impose ~~unnecessary~~ harassing restrictions so far as they can be avoided. After all, how is the Govt in a position to judge of the desirability or otherwise of most of the improvements or other work that may be proposed by the Supdt; say, the enlargement of a fernery, the diversion of a bye-path, the laying out of beds of an improved design, and so on? Much must-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

be left to the trained discretion and artistic faculty of a specialist like Dr Krumbiegel.

The office has sought to make ~~not~~ that a comparison between the Garden Superintendent & an Executive Engineer, much to the former's disadvantage. I think, however, that so far as petty works of construction are concerned, there is at least as much reason for delegating salaried powers to the former as to the latter. The works undertaken by an Executive Engineer are usually such as are capable of detailed measurements and estimates, and can (as a rule) wait for licences sanctioned by higher authority. The case is different, as I have already shown, in the case of works such as fall to the lot of the Superintendent.

In these circumstances, I would recommend that the limit may be raised to at least 100 Rs in the case of small works and purchases of articles (such as manure, soil, seeds, tubs, stone etc).

To Secretary to Govt 2nd Dr

17-11-08

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

D-1768

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Q. M. File No. 36 of 1908-9

Serial No.

The power to sanction Contingent Expenditure may remain at Rs 25/ as at present.
As regards works of construction and repair, the Supdt may be empowered to sanction up to a limit of Rs 100/ In all big works estimates must be submitted & sanction obtained thereto

W.P.N.
18/11/08

Council

10919
19-11-08

The Dewan desires that this may be brought up before the Council
21. 11. 08.

Circulate
25/11/08

SPR 25.11.08

Seen - [When the file is brought up at Council meeting let us have a rectification of "The former practice of the Garden Department" as given in the last para of the letter under disposal] Thirdhood

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

1768

11

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Final Br

Let case worker bring
up into regs as to
former practice in
the
issue
SPL
28-11-08

as directed by the Undersecy in para
Draft D.O. to the Supdt. Govt Gardens
asking him to furnish information re
the authority for the practice refd to
in submitted

1308
30/11/08 VS

Issue SPL 30.11.08

Issue No. 9.3355 of 12-
08

1403

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small Slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

P 70

SWS
5-12-08

MGCPB—50,000—22-9-04

12

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

G. E

No. G. 3355

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 190 .

To G. H. Krumbiegel Esq
Supdt. Govt Gardens.

Date of issue 1.12.08

Signed by M. Rajagopalachar

Dear Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to the last para of your letter no. 238 dt 22/26.10.08, in which you request that the former practice of the Garden department of spending the budget allotments without any special ~~limit~~ ~~San~~ limit of charges may be revived. In this connection I am to ask you to furnish information as to how long the practice

over.

SUBJECT.

continued in the Gardens department and the ~~Govt~~ orders of Govt which authorised Such a practice

Yours Sincerely

VS

BOS
20/11/08.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

No. 504

Lal Bagh, Bangalore,

8th. December 1908.

D. No. 2419 G.

Dear Sir,

In reply to your D.O.no. G.3355 of the 1st. instant I beg to state that the practice of spending the budget allotments without any special limit of charges has been an established practice in this Department so long as the Head clerk can remember. There is nothing on record authorising such a practice.

There are, however, a few references for obtaining sanction of Government to specially large items of expenditure incurred such as the purchase of a lion, the construction of a propagating House etc. where the cost exceeds the budget provision.

Yours sincerely

Superintendent, Government Gardens.

To K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. Rev. Depts.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gm File No. 36 of 190 8

14

Serial No.

Forwarded to Finl Secretary for remarks if any, under Rule 7 of 6. March 07 — K 17/12/08

No remarks.

M 17/12/08

Secy to Govt 18/12/08

P.T.O

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

C133
26.11.08

15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

Question of modifying the G.O. No.1082-3/G.M.36-08-2 dated 15th August 1908 so as to allow the Superintendent, Government Gardens to incur expenditure up to a limit of Rs.100 out of the sanctioned budget grants for construction and repair.

Circulated to Councillors.

By Order,

Secretary.

26th November 1908.

C837
26-11-08

T. ANANDA ROW, Esq., B.A.,
First Councillor.

K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, Esq.,
Second Councillor.

Seen by Second Councillor.

G.R.S. 15]

Facing sheet for Cases included in the schedule appended to Notification No. 36 of the 8th. Aug. 1902.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Subject— Question of modifying the G.O.No.1082-3/G.M. 36-08-2 dated 15th August 1908 so as to allow the Superintendent, Government Gardens to incur expenditure up to a limit of Rs.100 out of the sanctioned budget grants for construction and repair.

	Date	Initial of Officer himself or responsible Deputy.
Received in the Secretariat		
Submitted to Secretary		
Submitted by Secretary to Dewan or Councillor in charge		
Returned to Secretary		
Circulated by Secretary for Council ...	25-11-08	
Forwarded by 2nd Councillor	Seen by 2nd Cr.	
Forwarded by 1st Councillor	28-11-08	
Received in Secretariat		
Considered in Council		
Submitted to the Dewan	18-12-08	
Submitted to His Highness	12.1.09	
Orders passed by His Highness		
Final Orders issued		
Circulated to Councillors		
Passed on by the Councillor		
Returned to Secretary by Councillor...		

GPB—1,000—26-2-07.

11284
18.12.08

17

Extract from the Proceedings of the 218th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the 14th Dec. 1908

I. Subject. - (Clause viii of Schedule).

× Paper under Proposal

× Flag W

Representation from the Superintendent of Government Gardens for an enhancement of his powers of sanction as laid down in Government Order No.1082-3 G.M. 36-08-2 dated 15th August 1908.

O P I N I O N.

The Superintendent of Government Gardens may be empowered to incur expenditure, without previous sanction of Government, up to a limit of Rs.100 in the case of works of petty construction and repair, and of purchases of articles ordinarily required for garden purposes such as tools, stones, pots, manure, seeds and the like. In the

case

case of other expenditure of a contingent nature, the present limit of Rs.25 may remain. To this extent the Government Order of 15th August 1908 may be modified.

× Flag W

(Sd) V. P. Madhava Rao,
Dewan of Mysore

Extract

H. Chandrasekharaiah
Secretary to Government.

1/

Submitted to His Highness.

The Superintendent of the Government Gardens having requested that he may be permitted to incur expenditure within the budget grants, without the previous sanction of Government, on the purchase of animals, flower-pots &c. and on petty works of construction and repair, he was informed in Government Proceedings No.G.1082-3/G.M.36-08-2 dated 15th August 1908, that he could exercise the powers of a head of a Department in regard to contingent expenditure[x] and that he might also incur expenditure up to a limit of Rs.25 in each case on petty works of construction and repair.

H W

[x]

Purchase of country articles up to		Rs.25.
-do- Europe made articles	-"	10.
Repairs of furniture -	-"	25.

The Superintendent has represented that the limits of his powers of sanction fixed in the above order are too small, and involve considerable delay and inconvenience, and requests that his powers of sanction may be raised to Rs.250.

The Financial Branch was of opinion that no case had been made out for increasing the Superintendent's

powers.

The matter was considered in the Council and the Council are of opinion that the Superintendent may be empowered to incur expenditure, without the previous sanction of Government, up to a limit of Rs.100 in the case of works of petty construction and repair and of purchases of articles ordinarily required for garden purposes, such as tools, stones, pots, manure, seeds and the like. In the case, however, of other expenditure of a contingent nature, the Council are of opinion that the present limit of Rs.25 may remain.

This recommendation may be sanctioned.

The file having been forwarded to the Financial Secretary for any further representation that he might wish to make, as required by the order of 6th May 1907, he has stated that he has no remarks to offer.

11/1/1909

D.R. 1768.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

12.1.09
532

Representation of the Superintendent of Government Gardens for an enhancement of his present powers of sanction

Order.

552

518
17.1.09

In view of the special nature of the Superintnedent's work, his request that he shd be given a free hand up to Rs250 is reasonable and orders may issue accordingly. ~~KRW~~
16/1/09

Seen
18/Jan/09

Seen
18/1/09

Seen
18/1/09

D.1768

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

For File No. 36 of 190 8-09

Serial No.	
	Draft order is submitted.
	There is a proposal that works of construction & repair relating to Govt. Gardens the cost of which exceeds Rs 50/- should be undertaken by the Rev. Dept. It has been referred to the Supt. Govt. Gardens unofficially for opinion. ~~Before deciding~~ ~~if the present case is an exception to the~~ ~~modification it is a matter for consideration~~ whether the present case should be treated independently of the above proposal.
	29/1/09 VS. * It does not seem to be necessary to wait for the disposal of the other question Draft submitted to secy
	30/1/09 Done; also sent to Genl Branch & Genl Accts Order 30.1.09
4.	To the Supt. Govt. Gardens. 30/1/09 No 5446-7 dt 4.2.09. Permits the Supt. to incur exps in his own authority in r/o the purchase of garden requisites, hire of animals upto a limit of Rs 250 in each case out of the sanctioned budget grants of his Deptt and directs that the purchase of articles of stationery required for his office will be regulated by G.O. no. H. 2647-92 / Gen Fin 42-06-1 dt 9.10.06. To the Genl Branch. No 5445 dt 4.2.09. Forwards a copy of the above for information.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

(1768) R 24/9
R 24/9

File No. 36 of 1908

Serial No.

Forwarded to the Jud. Branch for submission to the Jud. Secy

24/2/09 US 4.2.09.

Submitted
N 5/2/09.
5/2
Jud Secy

6.2.09

8/2/09

Ref B 24/2/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

V4/17/W N.S. D/1768

1908—09.

From

G. H. Krumbiegel, Esq
Superintendent,
Government Gues

To K.S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq
Secretary to the Govt of
H.H. the Maharaja of
Mysore, General
Revenue
Depts

Dated 22nd October 1908.

Despatched 26th "

Received

General No. 238
No.

Enclosure No.

fm 31-08

8 13

Sir,

I have the honor to report that, while every effort has been made to give effect to the Government order conveyed in Proceedings no G1082-3 G.M.36-08-2 dated 15th August 1908 it is found that there are many difficulties and that much unnecessary correspondence and delay has resulted.

This office has a number of subordinate institutions under its administrative control and the items of incidental charges exceeding the limit of Rs 25/- accruing from

from one or the other under the several headings of each Garden are really numerous. To secure an estimate of cost for every such item and defer either the work or purchase of material, as the case may be, till the necessary sanction of Government is obtained, I am of opinion, surely results in delay, if not, loss of opportunity on the part of the executive working of the Department. It is, further, not feasible to provide estimates for petty charges as these small works are generally wholly or partly by the existing labor and the items generally concerned are chiefly materials such as, manure, pots, tools, implements, seeds, plants, stones, animals, birds, repairs to structures, buildings, roads, with several other garden requisites as the emergencies of work and opportunity demand.

The order requiring the previous sanction of Government to an expenditure over Rs 25/- is, in my opinion, quite practically workable in case of administrative items as furniture, books, articles of stationery, etc; but in case of items for execution of sanctioned works it is most unusual to bind executive officer down to so small a limit. In all Government, to my knowledge, an Executive Engineer has powers of sanction from Rs 200 to 500 (the latter figure is, I think, the rule here).

The former practice of the Garden Department of expending budget allotments under the orders of the Superintendent, Government Gardens, without any special limit of charges being kindly restored appears absolutely desirable for efficient working; and as no amounts higher than Rs 200-300 are ever involved. If it, however appears desirable to Government to limit the powers, which is quite correct in principle, I beg to suggest that the limit of expenditure incurred under the orders of this office

may

may be raised to Rs 250/-

Soliciting favour of early orders on these proposals,

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Sd. Cunningham

Superintendent.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of

M y s o r e. .

Read again :-

Government Order No. G.1082-3/G.M.36-08-2 dated the 15th August 1908 fixing the limits within which the Superintendent of Government Gardens may incur expenditure on his own authority on the purchase of animals, flower pots etc, and on works of construction and repair.

2. Letter No. 238 dated the 22nd October 1908 from the Superintendent of Government Gardens representing the inconvenience of having to obtain the previous sanction of Government to every item of expenditure exceeding the limits prescribed by the above order and requesting that he may be permitted to spend without the sanction of Government sums not exceeding Rs. 250/- in each case out of the sanctioned budget allotments on account of the purchase of garden requisites (such as, plants, seeds, tools, manure) birds and animals and the execution of petty works of construction and repair.

Order thereon No. 9446-7 / Gm 36-08-4

Dated Bangalore 4th February 1909.

Government have reconsidered the ~~matter~~ matter in the light of the further representations made by the Superintendent; and in view of the special nature of his work they are pleased to permit him to incur expenditure on his own authority on items of the kind specified above, up to a limit of Rs. 250/- in each case out of the sanctioned budget grants of his Department.

2. The purchase of articles of stationery etc, for the use of the Superintendent's office, will be regulated as hitherto by Government Order No. Fl. 2647-92/Gen.Fin 112-06-1 dated the 9th October 1906.

S. Shivayamaiya

For Secretary to Government.

To

The Superintendent, Government Gardens in Mysore.

" Comptroller, Mysore Government.

October 1905.

1768

G.R.S. 28]

6 copies

File No.
Serial No

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

W

, the 190

Date of issue

Signed by

READ again —
Govt Order No. G. 1082-3 G.M. 36-08-2
dated the 15th August 1908
fixing the limits within which
the Supt of Govt Gardens may
incur expenditure on his own
authority on the purchase of
animals, flower pots &c. and on works
of construction and repairs.

2. Letter No. 238 dated the
22nd October 1908 from the
Supt of Govt Gardens representing
that the ~~it is~~ the inconvenience of
having to obtain the previous sanction of Govt
to every item of expenditure

P.T. over

SUBJECT

GPB—7,000—1-3-07

exceeding ~~Rs 25/-~~ the limits prescribed by the above order and requesting that he may be permitted to ~~incur~~ ~~sanction~~ spend without the ~~previous~~ sanction of Govt sums not exceeding Rs 250/- in each case ~~on the~~ out of the sanctioned budget allotments on account of the purchase of garden requisites (such as, plants, seeds, tools manure), ~~as~~ birds & animals & the execution of petty works of Construction & repair.

Order thereon — No. G. 4456-7 / Fin 36-00-4 dt 4.2.09

~~Govt~~ Govt. have considered the matter in the light of the further representations made by the Supt; and in view of the special nature of this work they are pleased to permit him to incur expenditure on his own authority on items of the kind specified above, up to a limit of Rs 250/- in each case out of the sanctioned budget grants ~~of~~ for this ~~dept~~ Department

~~1) the Supt. of Gardens~~
~~2) the Executive~~

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

N

2- The purchase of articles of stationery for the use of the Supt's office will be regulated as hitherto by Govt. order No. H. 2647-92/Gen-Fin 112-06-1 dated the 9th Oct. 1906.

Secretary to Govt.

Put

1) The Supt Govt Gardens
2) The Comptroller

Memo no 4458 dy 4-2-09

Copy to Fin. Branch for information.

copy 6 copies only

MSN
3/2/09

1908-09

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL (MISCELLANEOUS)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 4th February 1909

No. G. 4456-7
G. M. 36-08-4

Permitting the Superintendent, Government Gardens, to incur expenditure on his own authority in purchasing garden requisites, birds and animals up to a limit of Rs. 250 in each case out of the sanctioned budget grants of his department.

GPB—19-2-09—200

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General (Miscellaneous), dated 4th February 1909.

READ AGAIN—

Government Order No. G. 1082-3—G. M. 36-08-2, dated the 15th August 1908, fixing the limits within which the Superintendent of Government Gardens may incur expenditure on his own authority on the purchase of animals, flower pots, etc., and on works of construction and repair.

2. Letter No. 238, dated the 22nd October 1908, from the Superintendent of Government Gardens, representing the inconvenience of having to obtain the previous sanction of Government to every item of expenditure exceeding the limits prescribed by the above order, and requesting that he may be permitted to spend without the sanction of Government sums not exceeding Rs. 250 in each case out of the sanctioned budget allotments on account of the purchase of garden requisites (such as, plants, seeds, tools, manure) birds and animals and the execution of petty works of construction and repair.

No. G. 4456-7—G. M. 36-08-4, DATED BANGALORE, 4TH FEBRUARY 1909.

ORDER THEREON.—Government have reconsidered the matter in the light of the further representations made by the Superintendent; and in view of the special nature of his work they are pleased to permit him to incur expenditure on his own authority on items of the kind specified above, up to a limit of Rs. 250 in each case out of the sanctioned budget grants of his department.

2 The purchase of articles of stationery, etc., for the use of the Superintendent's office will be regulated as hitherto by Government Order No. Fl. 2647-92—Gen. Fin. 112-06-1, dated the 9th October 1906.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Superintendent, Government Gardens, in Mysore.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—C. R.